**ACTA POLITICA POLONICA ISSN: 0867-0617**

**Review form**

**Reviewer:**

**Reviewer Affiliation:**

**Title of Reviewed Article :**

1. Article Assessment:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria Grade.** | | **Grade** | | | |
| **good** | **average** | **poor** | **unsatisfactory** |
| **1** | **1 Does the content of the article correspond with the title?** |  |  |  |  |
| **2** | **To what extent is the article original, i.e. not a compilation of already known publications?** |  |  |  |  |
| **3** | **Was the research objective clearly defined?** |  |  |  |  |
| **4** | **To what extent were research methods and techniques appropriately selected and applied?** |  |  |  |  |
| **5** | **Does the article contribute something new to scientific knowledge?** |  |  |  |  |
| **6** | **Is the structure of the article coherent and logical?** |  |  |  |  |
| **7** | **Was the literature on the subject selected correctly and sufficiently?** |  |  |  |  |
| **8** | **Is the formal and linguistic aspect of the article correct?** |  |  |  |  |
| **9** | **Were the conclusions correctly formulated?** |  |  |  |  |

2.Rationale for the evaluation and specific comments (may be highlighted in the article) and recommendations of the reviewer:

3.Conclusions:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CONCLUSIONS:** | **YES** | **NO** |
| **The article is suitable for publication as presented by the author** |  |  |
| **The article is suitable for publication after taking into account the reviewer's comments** |  |  |
| **The article is not suitable for publication** |  |  |

**Place and date**: **Reviewer's signature**: